### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

2252/PC7	or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See No Prelimi	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
 nternationa	I application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
	004/005165	10.12.2004	12.12.2003		
61M15A	00, A61J7/00	or both national classification and IPC			
CLINICA	L DESIGNS LIMITED 6	et al.			
I. This Auth	international preliminary of the control of the con	examination report has been prepared by to the applicant according to Article 36.	his International Preliminary Examining		
2. This	REPORT consists of a to	otal of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
The	hoon amonded and are	the basis for this report and/or sheets cont ction 607 of the Administrative Instructions	escription, claims and/or drawings which have aining rectifications made before this Authority under the PCT).		
Thi		se relating to the following items:			
3. This	_	ns relating to the following items:			
ı	Basis of the opinion				
I II	<ul><li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li><li>☐ Priority</li></ul>	on	e step and industrial applicability		
    	<ul><li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li><li>☑ Priority</li><li>☑ Non-establishmen</li></ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
I II	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of inv</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement</li> </ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to no			
I II IV V	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement of involved</li> </ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to no anations supporting such statement			
I II IV V	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement citations and explanations and explanation</li> <li>☑ Certain document</li> </ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to no anations supporting such statement s cited			
I II IV V VI VII	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement of tations and explain</li> <li>☑ Certain document</li> <li>☑ Certain defects in</li> </ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to no anations supporting such statement			
I III IV V VI	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement of tations and explain</li> <li>☑ Certain document</li> <li>☑ Certain defects in</li> </ul>	on It of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to no anations supporting such statement s cited the international application			
I III IV V VII VIII	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement of tations and explain</li> <li>☑ Certain document</li> <li>☑ Certain defects in</li> </ul>	on of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not anations supporting such statement is cited the international application ons on the international application	e step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
I III IV V VII VIII	<ul> <li>☐ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement</li> <li>☐ Certain document</li> <li>☑ Certain defects in</li> <li>☐ Certain observation</li> </ul>	on of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not anations supporting such statement is cited the international application ons on the international application	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
IIIIIVVVVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	<ul> <li>☐ Basis of the opinion</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishmen</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of involved</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement</li> <li>☐ Certain document</li> <li>☑ Certain defects in</li> <li>☐ Certain observation</li> </ul>	on at of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not anations supporting such statement is cited the international application ons on the international application  Date of complete 07.02.2006	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
IIIIIVVVVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of inverse citations and explain document Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation Certain observation Certain observation Certain observation	on at of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not anations supporting such statement is cited the international application ons on the international application  Date of complete 07.02.2006	velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		

# 10/582656 APS RECVICIPTO 12 JUN 2006

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005165

		is of the report			
1.	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been full the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "original and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):				
	Des	cription, Pages			
	1-15	5	as originally filed		
	Clai	ims, Numbers			
	1-34		as originally filed		
	Dra	wings, Sheets			
	1/8-		as originally filed		
2.	With lang	n regard to the <b>langua</b> guage in which the inte	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
			ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	Inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under . 3).		
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.		
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.		
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.		
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005165

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)
5.	Addi	itional observations, if necessary:
<b>F1</b>	Non	establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Tho	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
		the entire international application,
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 34
		because:
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 34
2.	or a	neaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ Imino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.
١٧	. Lac	k of unity of invention
1.	In re	esponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	s Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3
		complied with.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005165

$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the following	reasons:
-------------	-------------------------------------	----------

#### see separate sheet

4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:

☐ all parts.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 2-6,10-19,20-23,25-33

No: Claims 1,7-9,19,24

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 4-6,10-19,20-23,25,27-33

No: Claims 1-3,7-9,19,24,26

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-33

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

#### see separate sheet

### 10/582656 AP3 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 JUN 2000

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005165

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

#### Concerning Item IV

The subject-matter of claim 1 does not include a counter. The dispenser according to claim 1 only has to be adapted for accommodating a counter for counting the dispensed portions of a substance. Thus, a device according to claim 1 solves the problem of dispensing a medicament and the device of claim 34 the problem of providing a reusable counter for counting medicament dispensed by a dispenser. Consequently, claims 1 and 34 do not have any common technical features and thus said claims cannot have any common or corresponding special technical features either. Hence, claims 1 and 34 lack unity. The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

Claims 1-33: A dispenser and a method for manufacturing the same

Claim: 34: A multi-use counter

Because the applicant has not paid the requested additional search fees, the subjectmatter of claim 34 has not been searched. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 34 cannot be examined in respect of Article 33(2)-(4) PCT.

#### Concerning Item V 2

- WO-A-01/37909 (D1) discloses a dispenser having a body (3), a container (4), a dispensing mechanism comprising a displaceable element (p.8, l. 15-24), an accommodation on the body (2) for a counter, and a closure (7) which co-operates with a portion of the body as defined in claim 1. In addition, also WO-A-01/31578 (D2) is considered to disclose a device which falls under the wording of claim 1. Consequently and because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not include a counter, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not meet the requirement of Article 33(2) PCT over the disclosures of D1 and D2.
- Also the technical features of the dependent claims 7-9, 19 and 24 appear to be disclosed by D1. Thus, said claims do not meet the requirement of Article 33(2) PCT either.
- The technical features of the dependent claims 2 and 3 appear to relate minor constructional changes which come within the scope of the customary practice followed

by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can be readily contemplated in advance. Furthermore, the subject-matter of claim 26 is considered to be obvious in the light of the disclosure of US-A-5 299 701. Consequently, the subjectmatters of said claims do not appear to meet the requirement of Article 33(3) PCT.

- The technical features of dependent claims 4-6, 10-18, 20-23, 25, and 27-31 do not appear to be derivable from any of the cited documents in an obvious manner. Thus, the subject-matters of said claims appear to meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- The subject-matter of independent method claim 32 is also considered to meet the 2.5 requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT, because the cited documents neither disclose a dispenser according to claim 11 or 16 nor the method steps defined in claim 32. Consequently, also the dependent claim 33 meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- The industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT) of a device according to the claims 1-31 2.6 and of a method according to claims 32 and 33 is self-evident.

#### **Formal Objections** 3

The closest prior art (D1) has not been identified as required by Rule 5(a)(ii) PCT. Furthermore, the independent claims are not in the two-part form as required by Rule 6.3(b) PCT. In addition, the claims do not include reference signs in parentheses as required by Rule 6.2(b) PCT.